

MY SOUL ON ICE

A philosophical autobiography by
JOHN O'LOUGHLIN



MY SOUL ON ICE

By

JOHN O'LOUGHLIN

Of Centretruths Digital Media

*Dedicated to the memory of Charles Baudelaire,
French Poet and Philosopher*

CDM Philosophy

This edition of *My Soul on Ice* first published 2012 and
republished (with revisions) 2022 by Centretruths
Digital Media

Copyright © 2012, 2022 John O'Loughlin

All rights reserved. No part of this eBook may be
reproduced in any form or by any means without the
prior written permission of the author/publisher

ISBN: 978-1-4466-8806-9

CONTENTS

Preface

My Soul On Ice

Biographical Footnote

PREFACE

This project combines autobiographical with philosophical material in such a way as to harmonize with my customary approach to anything remotely resembling an autobiography which, as on previous occasions, could not materialize were it not for my ongoing or, in this particular instance, definitive commitment to philosophy, which has always been my *raison d'être* for writing and therefore justification for anything else, including autobiography. In this, the third such project, the combination of the two approaches to literature, reminiscent in a way of Henry Miller, is brought to a veritable apotheosis, and it would be no surprise if this paradoxically turned out to be my last such hurrah! – a fitting climax to a brilliant vocation.

John O'Loughlin, London 2010 (Revised 2022)

MY SOUL ON ICE

In Metachemistry, the fiery element *par excellence*,
Beauty and Love = Quick ; Ugliness and Hate = Hot.

In Chemistry, the watery element *par excellence*,
Strength and Pride = Slow; Weakness and Humiliation =
Cold.

In Physics, the vegetative (earthy) element *par
excellence*, Knowledge and Pleasure = Heavy; Ignorance
and Pain = Hard.

In Metaphysics, the airy element *par excellence*, Truth
and Joy = Light; Illusion and Woe = Soft.

The Quickness of Beauty and Love = Crime; the
Hotness of Ugliness and Hatred = Evil.

The Slowness of Spirit and Pride = pseudo-Crime; the
Coldness of Weakness and Humiliation = pseudo-Evil.

The Heaviness of Knowledge and Pleasure = pseudo-
Grace; the Hardness of Ignorance and Pain = pseudo-
Wisdom.

The Lightness of Truth and Joy = Grace; the Softness of
Illusion and Woe = Wisdom.

* * * *

Abstract art and pornography share in common the appropriation of painting and sex by the abstract gender to the male side of life, whether in terms of ego (neutronic molecular wavicles) or soul (photonic elemental wavicles).

Appropriations of sex and/or females to pornographic abstractions notwithstanding, one should distinguish the relative from the absolute in this context, since one could argue that whereas the relative is properly pornographic, involving sexual activity between two or more persons, the absolute, focusing attention upon only one person (with or without non-sexual assistance) is, rather, erotica, which is therefore more noumenal (pseudo-metachemical?) than phenomenal (pseudo-chemical?), dominated not by a physical mode of abstraction but by a metaphysical mode.

They say that pornography exploits women, but pornography would hardly exist if women, or females, were not freely somatic and able to ‘strut their stuff’. It is a reflection, albeit sublimated, of their freedom and hegemonic power/glory.

* * * *

All the old religions lie – as a matter of science-serving, politics-serving, or economics-serving principle, which is why all the old religions are unequally false from a properly religious – i.e. metaphysical – standpoint.

I was wrong, in the past, about Eastern Orthodoxy – it is nearer the truth of heavenly soul than Roman Catholicism, and is therefore a higher form of religion ... relative to Christianity.

Catholicism is too obsessed by sin to be capable of inspiring heavenly joy, and usually revolves around the expectation and forgiveness of sin.

Even its emphasis on sin is delusional, since it manifestly fails to address the female equivalent – pseudo-evil, which appertains to the bound psyche not of pseudo-physics (sin) but of chemistry.

One can forgive the Church for overlooking the corollary of sin in folly only because the latter, being freely somatic, is a state-subordinate equivalence – which can only be irrelevant from a bound-psychic, and therefore church-hegemonic, mass point of view.

False religion revolves around the concept ‘God’; religion that is to any extent true, or genuine, will emphasize Heaven, of which God, or godliness, is a mere superconscious extrapolation bearing effulgent witness, halo-like, to soulful joy.

Supreme *Being*, which is a condition of metaphysical soul, has reference to Heaven, not to God.

Godliness, which is the nearest metaphysical postulate to

ego, is that which takes cognizance of the supreme *beingfulness* of soulful joy, i.e. the condition of Heaven.

Heaven is not only beyond God; it precedes godliness as that which is at the heart (core) of metaphysics.

Heaven is the joyful condition of metaphysical soul to which the truth of godliness bears superconscious witness from the standpoint of a superhuman order of 'bovaryized' ego which, being 'once-bovaryized', is less superego-centric than superegoistic.

The pseudo-superconscious, which is pseudo-metaphysical, is often confounded by gender reductionists with the subconscious. In reality, it is as far removed from the subconscious as pseudo-illusion and pseudo-woe from ugliness and hatred, which of course appertain to the subconscious metachemically.

Every time they open their mouths to speak about God, they lie; priests lie through their teeth.

Idolatry is the mainstay of the Church; without idols it would be unable to exist.

Christ said something to the effect that one – meaning male followers – must abandon wife, daughter, sister, mother – in short, family – to follow him. That is the way of the Cross or, at any rate, of a religious vocation through Christ, and it tends to lead to the individualism of Calvary.

To be rid of priests, bibles, hymnals, etc., it will be necessary to vote for Social Theocracy or, rather, for religious sovereignty through Social Theocracy, so that, in the event of a majority mandate, the Social Theocrats can set about removing Creator-based obstacles to evolutionary progress, including the Church itself.

Social Theocracy must be determined to set up the 'Church', i.e. the Centre, to end all churches. It will not be, in the Protestant manner, just *another* church, but the start of something entirely new, as germane to 'Kingdom Come', wherein the people will be rid of priests because religiously sovereign.

The Church is morally bankrupt; it panders to the world, including the world's microcosm – the family. Families can have no place in 'Kingdom Come'.

Every family is a repudiation of Christ and testament to the worldly success of females.

Only the male has a right, in pseudo-physics, to cultivate faith in the possibility of deliverance, via some kind of Messianic Intervention, from his lowly predicament as an affiliated subordinate to the chemical hegemony of feminine females (the successful counterparts of superfeminine females).

A Saviour is one who delivers the pseudo-masculine male, or pseudo-male, from pseudo-physics to

metaphysics on the church-hegemonic/state-subordinate axis stretching from south-west to north-east points of the intercardinal axial compass. He thus restores them, albeit on an upgraded or transfigured basis, to male gender sync, in which psyche is free to preponderate and prevail over bound soma in the absolute ratio of 3:1.

A pseudo-masculine male with a relative, or $2\frac{1}{2}:1\frac{1}{2}$, ratio of bound psyche to free soma under feminine female hegemonic pressure in chemistry over pseudo-physics is not only more bound than free; he is the victim of an upended gender predicament that keeps him at loggerheads with his inherent predilection for free psyche and bound soma.

Free soma and bound psyche is natural to the female, but not to the male who, in the event of subordination to a female hegemony, becomes pseudo-male, whether in pseudo-supermasculine pseudo-metaphysics or, down from the noumenal to the phenomenal planes, in pseudo-masculine pseudo-physics, from which position there is no way back to metaphysical gender sync except via Messianic Intervention.

Females have no interest in otherworldly deliverance for the simple reason that they achieve their goal in maternal resolution, which is chemically worldly, i.e. of the world from a purgatorial (as opposed, like pseudo-physics, to a pseudo-earthly) standpoint. The achievement of a surrogate plenum through the child is what delivers them from the netherworldly want of such a plenum in

metachemical vacuity.

Delivered from the vacuum of metachemistry to the surrogate plenum of maternal resolution in chemistry, the female is fulfilled, and can have no further natural ambitions ... bar the possibility of additional children.

* * * *

The alacrity with which most rock musicians subscribe to 'love', that exemplification of metachemical spirit, would suggest a Creator-orientated disposition not merely out of touch ... but completely at variance with true religion.

Bono sings about there being no line on (his) horizon, but there *is* on mine: one between metaphysics (male) and pseudo-metachemistry (pseudo-female).

* * * *

If we speak of the 'superego', let us remember that it is a 'bovaryzied' order of ego equivalent to superconscious mind that, being metaphysical, is superconsciously aware of the supersensibility (joy) of metaphysical soul – the Soul *per se*.

We should not forget that 'bovaryzied' ego (superego) only exists compliments of the Soul, which engenders it as candleflame engenders the light which bears witness to it. Translated from psychology into theology, as from

theory into practice, this means that God, or godliness, only exists compliments of Heaven, since it is Heaven that precedes God, as Joy precedes Truth, which is the evidence of Joy.

No-one is godly all the time, least of all on a permanent basis commensurate with a divine status. God as a ‘thingful’ entity is a falsehood germane to ‘bovaryized’ religions, whether scientific (fundamentalist), political (pantheist), or economic (humanist), as though dominated, in metachemical, chemical, or physical vein, by fire, water, or earth (vegetation), or, indeed, by a combination, to different extents, of all three.

I am neither Catholic nor Protestant, but a self-proclaimed Social Theocrat, who is beyond Christianity and other so-called ‘world religions’ in his ideological commitment primarily to metaphysics and secondarily to pseudo-metachemistry, through the concept and, hopefully, development of religious sovereignty to a Social Transcendentalist end.

Being a kind of Messianic advocate of religious sovereignty through Social Theocracy does not make me ‘God’, that ‘thingful’ falsehood, but simply a kind of intellectual and/or ideological ‘godfather’ of Social Theocracy.

For me, Social Theocracy, which aims to bring the religious praxis of Social Transcendentalism to the masses, is the true – as opposed to false, or Social

Democratic – Centralism (I nearly said ‘Communism’, but that is the false legacy of a previous title) – the Social Transcendentalist Centralism – as opposed to liberal centrism – that would ‘overcome man’ in the interests of his deliverance to ‘Kingdom Come’.

* * * *

All of my philosophy has been conceived against a background or backdrop of indifference if not open hostility from the ‘English’, especially from neighbours, including women and children, who seem to have an abhorrence of intellectualism, culture, independence of mind, and other