

# FROM SUPERFLUOUS MAN TO SUPERMAN

John O'Loughlin



# FROM SUPERFLUOUS MAN TO SUPERMAN

By

**JOHN O'LOUGHLIN**

Of Centretruths Digital Media

CDM Philosophy

This edition of *From Superfluous Man to Superman* first published 2012 and republished (with revisions) 2022 by Centretruths Digital Media

Copyright © 2012, 2022 John O'Loughlin

All rights reserved. No part of this eBook may be reproduced in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of the author/publisher

ISBN: 978-1-008-98977-1

---



Behold the Superman, as he rises, phoenix-like,  
from the ashes of the Superfluous Man ...  
to soar Heavenwards on wings of  
Superchristian (Social Theocratic) grace.

John O'Loughlin

# CONTENTS

## *WEBLOGS 1 – 5*

The Fundamental Falsity of Traditional Religion  
Imagination and Logic  
The Relativity of 'Not Resisting Evil'  
Soul Pollution  
Eschatological Speculations in relation to 'Kingdom  
Come'

## *WEBLOGS 6 – 10*

Fame  
Man and Superman  
A Distinction of Male Hairstyles  
Existence of the British Empire  
High vis-à-vis Low Culture

## *WEBLOGS 11 – 15*

Abusive People  
Contact Lenses vis-à-vis Glasses  
Distinction between Irish and British Masses  
Nazism and Rock Music  
Spectacles

## *WEBLOGS 16 – 20*

'Secrets' for Sale  
Dependence of the Working Class  
Three Short Apophthegms  
Truth and Freedom  
The Truth about Stauffenberg

## *WEBLOGS 21 – 25*

Lust  
The Mask of Nobility  
That which flanks Humanism  
Absolute Alpha and Absolute Omega  
Gender Contrasts in the Noumenal and the Phenomenal

## *WEBLOGS 26 – 31*

The Opposing Gender Ideals of Vanity and  
Righteousness in  
Axial Perspective  
Male Salutations in Axial Perspective  
'Classical' music vis-à-vis 'Beat' music  
Why I am not a Christian  
Like an Alien  
Superman

Biographical Footnote

## *WEBLOGS 1 – 5*

### THE FUNDAMENTAL FALSITY OF TRADITIONAL RELIGION

Both Christianity and Islam are extrapolations from Judaism and therefore rooted, in their different ways, in Creatorism and the notion, in consequence, of God Almighty in the Beginning. Thus they are equally or, if you prefer, unequally irrelevant to the true religion of ‘Kingdom Come’ (when it comes) in which God or, rather, godliness is merely peripheral to Heaven and, hence, to metaphysical Soul, the Soul whose joyful release from bodily bondage is the precursor of Truth and thus of that which, as godliness, is but a reflection of its sublime essence – that essence perceived, as it were, from the outside.

Those of us – principally myself at present – who hold with Social Transcendentalism (otherwise known as Social Theocracy) can have no truck with God in the sense of The Almighty, The Creator, The (so-called) Father, etc., for to us that is merely the scientific (metachemical) beginnings of religion on the most ‘bovaryized’ terms, not its properly religious (metaphysical) end that is beyond even intermediate ‘bovaryizations’ of an economic or, especially, a political order.

All Creator-based religion is fundamentally false and therefore a convenient lie for enabling people – and men in particular – to live with the overwhelming dominance of Alpha-orientated and Alpha-stemming societies by females.

The great historical struggle of the coming decades and centuries is not simply to advance the cause of true religion (Social Transcendentalism) through Social Theocracy (its politico-religious front), but, in order that this may happen, to consign all the so-called world religions of the God-fearing traditions to the proverbial ‘rubbish bin of history’, so that evolution can move beyond man, or mankind, in relation to the progressive cyborgization of life on increasingly male (metaphysical) and pseudo-female (pseudo-metachemical) terms, served by an ideologically-motivated elite of Social Theocrats whose mode of cyborgization will be individual rather than, like the masses, communal in respect of gender alternatives.

There may even be more females in the ‘administrative aside’ to the ‘Centre proper’ (of the religiously sovereign) than males, but those in higher authority, being closer to metaphysics than to pseudo-metachemistry, should always be male, to ensure that the structure favouring males (in metaphysics over pseudo-metachemistry, lamb and neutralized lion-like parallels) continues to be honoured and served in the best possible manner.

For a religion that is Omega-orientated in its transcendentalism can only be to the advantage of males – unlike the Alpha-orientated or Alpha-stemming religions of the religious traditions which are, of course, ‘bovaryized’ from either scientific, economic, or political standpoints.

What is the difference, you may wonder, between Alpha-orientated and Alpha-stemming religion? Judaism, being unequivocally Creatoresque, is Alpha-orientated. Both Christianity and Islam, in their dissimilar ways, are Alpha-stemming, and therefore beholden to concepts of God that differ, in certain respects, from that of the Jews, even if they both acknowledge Judaic precedent and contain modified aspects of Judaism, including Abraham and Satan.

By turning its back, so to speak, on Judaism and, hence, Creatorism, Buddhism is closer to true religion, but it still falls short of the heavenly requirements of ‘Kingdom Come’ and of that which would be proper to global as opposed to Middle Eastern, Western, or Far Eastern (not to mention Far Western) civilization, and cannot be regarded, in consequence, as other than one of the traditional religions, even if the most enlightened one!



## IMAGINATION AND LOGIC

Tempering imagination by logic is not good enough; nor would an imaginative approach to logic suffice to make one desirous of 'Kingdom Come', even if it constituted a mode of Christian 'rebirth' germane to the corporeal. For anything subjectively ethereal, on the other hand, one needs to be purely logical and, hence, 'mental'.

Generally speaking, women are more imaginative, have more vivid imaginations, than men, despite the pretensions of male artists, i.e. the generality of artists, to the contrary. This fiery imagination of women usually suffices, through free will, to enable them to enhance and exploit their beauty ... to propagative ends, since rooted not in psyche but in soma, not in the mind but in the body.

One could distinguish, on a fourfold elemental basis, between the imagination of metachemistry or, more correctly, of a metachemical disposition, which has a 3:1 advantage in free soma and bound psyche over pseudo-metaphysics, its subordinate pseudo-male gender counterpart; the logical approach to imagination of a chemically-dominated pseudo-physical disposition; the imaginative approach to logic of a physically-dominated pseudo-chemical disposition; and, finally, the pure logic of a metaphysical disposition, which has a 3:1 advantage in free psyche and bound soma over pseudo-metachemistry, its subordinate pseudo-female gender counterpart.

This metaphysical disposition, commensurate with true religion, is antithetical, in every respect, to the pure imagination of the metachemical, as, in simple elemental terms, air to fire or, on a noumenal – as opposed to phenomenal – gender basis, as the Supermasculine to the Superfeminine, basing our generalizations in regard to ‘super’ on the preponderating (free psyche) and/or predominating (free soma) ratio factors of each position.

In between – and down below – one finds the contrary relative, or corporeal, approaches to logic and imagination which typify ‘the world’ in each of its principal Western ethnic manifestations – namely Catholic chemistry over pseudo-physics at the south-west point of the intercardinal axial compass, so to speak, and Protestant (specifically Puritan) physics over pseudo-chemistry at the south-east point of said compass, whose relativity, being phenomenal, accords with a  $2\frac{1}{2}:1\frac{1}{2}$  ratio differential favouring either soma (chemistry) or psyche (physics) rather than with a 3:1 differential characteristic of the absolutism of the noumenal antitheses alluded to above.

All those imaginative jerks illustrate a deplorable want of logic and, hence, metaphysics or, in plain parlance, philosophy.

## THE RELATIVITY OF 'NOT RESISTING EVIL'

People have given ridiculous interpretations to the injunction, attributed to Christ, to 'resist not evil', even, like Henry Miller, suggesting that what was meant was that one should give-in to it rather than fight it, and thereby satisfy one's lusts or desires. But such injunctions are apt to be relative.

In the context of Christ, the notion of not resisting evil surely has to do with 'turning the other cheek' – in other words, not allowing oneself to get carried away with revenge or by anger into doing something, through resistance, that one may subsequently regret and that, at the time, would certainly upset one's equanimity and, in all probability, land one in greater trouble, if not danger. If, for example, one is only being bitched or slapped or slagged off by some vulgar person or otherwise upbraided, it would serve one's purpose (of protecting one's peace of mind) not to offer resistance, least of all physically, to one's assailant or critic.

But it is all a question of degree, and that is what I meant by the term 'relative'. If one's life is under threat from an assailant one has a moral duty to oneself, one's soul or even person, to offer some kind of proportionate resistance in order not to be killed or seriously injured or wounded. 'Turning the other cheek' is possible and even desirable to a point and within certain circumstances, but when it could actually endanger one's life or reputation, then it would not be a mark of wisdom but, rather, of

folly, if not downright irresponsibility in respect of one's self, and one's right, both natural and moral, to existence.

There are times when it is necessary to defend oneself or one's position, and in such circumstances – rare as they may well be – ‘turning the other cheek’ as a means of not resisting evil and/or crime (and more usually crime) would be a luxury that one could ill-afford, least of all if it meant paying with one's life.

## SOUL POLLUTION

Much as I hate to say this, I find from bitter and lengthy experience that to have any dealings with the British and, in particular, the English, whether professionally or socially, is to have one's soul polluted. An Irishman of Catholic and largely Gaelic descent will always have his soul polluted by the bitch-ridden English who, of course, in axial terms do not recognize soul as a viable ideal but only will and ego, disdaining spirit as unworthy of anyone centred in ego as much as those rooted in will are especially susceptible to disdaining soul, both of which, i.e. spirit and soul, are more germane to a church-hegemonic axial standpoint that, in the British Isles, one would traditionally equate with Irish Catholics. Even Nietzsche said much the same thing about the English, namely that they were '*sans esprit et sans genie*'. How right! And yet, one cannot deny their commitments to